

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/621,508	07/18/2003	Paul H. Patrick	1569-7/MBE	1186
7590 10/20/2004		EXAMINER		
Mark B. Eisen			UPTON, CHRISTOPHER	
Dimock Stratton	n Clarizio LLP			
Suite 3202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
20 Queen Street West, Box 102			1724	
Toronto, ON I	M5H 3R3		1,2,	
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/621,508	PATRICK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Christopher Upton	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replement of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing datent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .	·				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.					
3)	- The second of the ment of an except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,9 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7, 10, 11 and 13</u> is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[] :	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the continuous action for a list of the continuous action.							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment							
	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (I	,				
3) 🛛 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					
. Patent and Tra	demody Office	·					

5

Application/Control Number: 10/621,508

Art Unit: 1724

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 13 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.
- 3. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,508

Art Unit: 1724

Claims 8 and 9 lack antecedent basis for the sleeves. It appears that the claims should depend from claim 7 instead of 6.

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the top and bottom portions with flow passages, as recited in claims 5 and 6 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 1724

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wyckoff, Kirby or Vinsonhaler.

Wyckoff, Kirby and Vinsonhaler each disclose fish screens in the form of porous dikes with flow passages in at least the central portion, as claimed.

6. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tozier.

Tozier discloses a fish screen in the form of a porous dike with flow passages in at least the central portion, in the form of openings and structures forming pipes, as claimed.

7. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wyckoff, Tozier or Kirby.

Claim 2 differs from Wyckoff, Tozier and Kirby in recitation of the size of the passages. It is submitted that the sizing would have been an obvious matter of optimization for one skilled in the art, depending on the sizes of the fish that one wishes to exclude, and therefore fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record.

8. Claims 1 and 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Powell.

Powell discloses a porous dike in the form of a plurality of panels, which obviously form blocks, with flow passages in the central portion, and with upper and lower portions having both solid areas and areas with flow passages, as claimed.

9. Claims 7, 10 and 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 8 and 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The recitation of a fish diversion structure in the form of a porous dike with flow passages in the central portion, wherein each of the flow passages are lined with a sleeve or are have an angled end relative to the axis of the passage patentably distinguishes over the prior art of record.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other references of interest include Eicher, Glenn, Sibinski and Wartemann.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Upton whose telephone number is 571-272-1169. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, off every other Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1724

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Upton Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724